The Chicago Tribune.

VOL. 23. CHICAGO, SATURDAY, JANUARY 22, 1870. NUMBER 206.

WASHINGTON.

The Virginia Bill Amended and Passed in the Senate.

Text of the Bill as Sent Back to the House.

Fraudulent Review of Sumner's Course on the Reconstruction Measures.

What is Thought of the Prospects of the New York Bill.

A Woman Suffrage Amendment offered in the Senate.

Special Dispatch to The Chicago Tribune.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 21.

SENATE.

The Virginia bill, which, after debate, was laid over yesterday, was taken up this morning, and Mr. Sherman moved to amend by striking out the section disfranchising certain persons. The motion was agreed to—yeas 37, nays 19. Mr. Sherman then moved to amend by striking out the section providing that the constitution of Virginia shall not be amended so as to deprive any person or class of persons of the right to hold office, except for participation in the rebellion or conviction for crime. Agreed to—yeas 36, nays 20. Mr. Sherman moved to amend by striking out the section providing that the first and fifteenth articles of amendment to the Constitution shall never be changed so as to deprive any person of the right to hold office. Agreed to—yeas 36, nays 20. Mr. Sherman moved to amend by striking out the section providing that the legislature of Virginia shall never deny or impair the right of any person to vote who is entitled to vote by the constitution of the State, except for participation in the rebellion or conviction for crime

The Tribune.

SUBSCRIPTION TERMS.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 22, 1870.

THE PETTENGILL AGREEMENT.

The Tribune has always contended that the Pettengill agreement between Chicago and the Illinois Central Railroad Company was illegal and void. Only one member of the Board of Railroad Commissioners, Mr. Bross, ever signed it, and he, as a part of the Board, had no power to do so. The Governor of Illinois has pronounced it void, and has refused to execute the bonds given by the Illinois Central Company under that agreement. The Attorney-General has declared it void, and has refused to bring suit upon the bonds. The Auditor of Public Accounts has refused to issue warrants for the payment of the interest upon the bonds. The Treasurer has refused to pay the interest upon the bonds. The Supreme Court of Illinois has declared the agreement void, and has ordered the Auditor to issue warrants for the payment of the interest upon the bonds. The Auditor has refused to obey the order of the Court. The Governor has refused to execute the bonds. The Attorney-General has refused to bring suit upon the bonds. The Treasurer has refused to pay the interest upon the bonds. The Auditor has refused to issue warrants for the payment of the interest upon the bonds. The Supreme Court

HELMBOLD'S BUCHU,
HELMBOLD'S BUCHU,
HELMBOLD'S BUCHU,

THE CROOK SCHOOL AFFAIR.

The Child not Very Badly Frightened After All.

Statements of the Girl, Her Father, and the Teacher.

Yesterday morning, Mr. Attorney Ives, father of the child who was the victim of the alleged assault by Miss Crook, called at the office of the Board of Education, and, in the presence of the Board, made a statement of the facts in the case, as he had learned them from his daughter and the teacher. He said that his daughter Lizzie, aged seven years, had been a pupil in the school for about two months, and had been very regular in her attendance. She had been sent to school on Monday morning, and returned home at noon, and said that she had been whipped by the teacher. She showed her father a mark on her arm, which he supposed was a bruise. He went to the school, and saw the teacher, Miss Crook, and asked her why she had whipped his child. She replied that the child had been very bad, and had refused to obey her. Mr. Ives then asked her if she had struck the child with a ruler, and she replied that she had not. Mr. Ives then went home, and found that the child's arm was not bruised, but that the mark was caused by a blow from the ruler. He then went back to the school, and told the teacher that he would have her arrested for assault and battery. She replied that she was sorry for what she had done, and that she would not have done it if she had known that the child was so sensitive. Mr. Ives then left the school, and went to the office of Justice Lyon, and made complaint against Miss Crook. A warrant was issued, and placed in the hands of Constable Hall, who arrested the teacher, and brought her before the Justice. Mr. Ives then went to the school, and took his child home. The child was not frightened, and was not afraid to go to school. Mr. Ives said that he had no desire to prosecute the matter further, and that he would withdraw the complaint if the Board desired him to do so.

Miss Crook then made a statement of the facts in the case. She said that the child had been very bad, and had refused to obey her. She had whipped her with a ruler, but had not struck her on the arm. She had not intended to hurt the child, and was sorry that she had done so.

Mr. Ives then withdrew the complaint, and the matter was dropped.

WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS.

Report of the Committee of the Board of Trade on the Proposed Registry of Warehouse Receipts.

A special meeting of the Directors of the Board of Trade was held yesterday afternoon in the Secretary's room. The meeting was called to order by President Sherwin, who stated its object to be the hearing of the report of the committee appointed with reference to the proposed registry of warehouse receipts.

Mr. Mahoney, of the committee referred to, presented the following report:

[illegible]

Mr. Mahoney moved that the report be received and adopted.

Mr. Sherwin said that he was in favor of the report, but he thought that the Board should not act upon it at present. He thought that the matter should be referred to the Board of Trade, and that the Board should not act upon it until the Board of Trade had had an opportunity to consider it.

Mr. Mahoney said that he was willing to withdraw his motion, and to refer the report to the Board of Trade.

Mr. Sherwin said that he thought that the Board of Trade should be requested to consider the report, and to report to the Board of Directors at the next meeting.

Mr. Mahoney moved that the report be referred to the Board of Trade, with the request that they consider the same, and report to the Board of Directors at the next meeting